

Collaborative learning approaches

High impact for very low cost based on limited evidence

Collaborative learning approaches involve pupils working together on activities or learning tasks in a groups

Implementation cost

Evidence strength

Impact (months)







Subject breakdown

maths: 64 reading: 62 toolkit: 211

School phase breakdown

primary: 104 secondary: 107 toolkit: 211

Technical Appendix

The criteria used to judge the inclusion of studies in the Toolkit are:

- The population sampled involved early years and school age learners from 3-18 learning in their first language.
- The intervention or approach being tested was educational in nature, including named or clearly defined programmes and
 recognisable approaches classifiable according to the Toolkit strand definitions (e.g. peer tutoring or small group
 teaching). The intervention or approach is undertaken in a normal educational setting or environment for the learners
 involved, such as a nursery or school or a typical setting (e.g. an outdoor field centre or museum).
- A valid comparison was made between those receiving the educational intervention or approach and those not receiving
 it
- Outcomes include the assessment of educational or cognitive achievement which reports quantitative results from testing
 of attainment or learning outcomes, such as by standardised tests or other appropriate curriculum assessments or school
 examinations or appropriate cognitive measures.
- The study design provided a quantitative estimate of the impact of the intervention or approach on the educational
 attainment of the sample, calculated or estimated in the form of an effect size (standardised mean difference) based on a
 counterfactual comparison.

Standardised mean differences and confidence intervals for the most appropriate estimates of the impact of the intervention or approach for the Toolkit were extracted from each included study, along with other study variables. These effect sizes were further synthesised into a single pooled effect using a random effects meta-analysis adopting a restricted maximum likelihood (REML) estimation methods. For the full details of the methodology see the Protocol and Analysis Plan (Plan_June2019.pdf)

.



References (211)

The forest plot below is a graphical representation of the results of all included studies in this Toolkit strand. It shows the effect size and confidence interval of each study, and whether the particular intervention in that study was more or less effective than standard practice or other alternative interventions that the study looked at.

Studies that show an effect size result on the right-hand side of the red vertical red indicate that the particular intervention studied was more effective than standard practice. Studies that show an effect size on the left-hand size of the red vertical indicate that the particular intervention studied was less effective than standard practice.

Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect	Size (Gr	aph)			
Ozsoy (2004)	The Effect of Learning Together Technique of Cooperative Learning Method on Student Achievement in Mathematics Teaching 7th Class of Primary School. (Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology - TOJET)	Effect Size: 3.511 LCI: 2.751 UCI: 4.272 Weight: 0.397	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Okebukola (1986) 1_1	Impact of Extended Cooperative and Competitive Relationships on the Performance of Students in Science (Human Relations)	Effect Size: 2.893 LCI: 2.197 UCI: 3.59	-4	-2	 	2	I	6
		Weight: 0.415 Standard error: 0.355						
Okebukola (1986) 1_2	Impact of Extended Cooperative and Competitive Relationships on the Performance of Students in Science (Human Relations)	Effect Size: 2.816 LCI: 2.14 UCI: 3.492	-4	-2	1	2	F	6
	(Haman readons)	Weight: 0.421 Standard error: 0.345		-		-		Ü
Acar (2008)	Effects of Cooperative Learning on Students' Understanding of Metallic Bonding	Effect Size: 2.698 LCI: 1.968			ı		H	
	(Research in Science Education)	UCI: 3.428 Weight: 0.405 Standard error: 0.372	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Okebukola (1985) 1_4	Cooperative and Competitive Interaction Techniques in Strengthening Students' Performance in Science Classes	Effect Size: 2.614 LCI: 2.268			ı			
	(Science Education)	UCI: 2.96 Weight: 0.51 Standard error: 0.176	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Acar (2007)	Effect of Cooperative Learning Strategies on Students' Understanding of Concepts in Electrochemistry	Effect Size: 2.471 LCI: 1.639			ı	- 1	- !	
	(International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education)	UCI: 3.302 Weight: 0.376 Standard error: 0.424	-4	-2	0	2	4	6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Siz	ze (Gra	aph)			
Adeyemi (2008)	Effects of cooperative learning and problem-solving strategies on junior secondary school students' achievement in Social Studies (Journal of Research in Educational Psychology)	Effect Size: 2.461 LCI: 1.937 UCI: 2.985 Weight: 0.465 Standard error: 0.267	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Okebukola (1985) 1_3	Cooperative and Competitive Interaction Techniques in Strengthening Students' Performance in Science Classes (Science Education)	Effect Size: 2.361 LCI: 2.031 UCI: 2.691 Weight: 0.513 Standard error: 0.168	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Tarhan (2007)	Problem-based learning in an eleventh grade chemistry class: 'factors affecting cell potential' (Research in Science & Technological Education)	Effect Size: 2.25 LCI: 1.441 UCI: 3.059 Weight: 0.383 Standard error: 0.413	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Durukan (2011)	Effects of cooperative integrated reading and composition (CIRC) technique on reading-writing skills (Educational Research and Reviews)	Effect Size: 1.917 LCI: 1.2 UCI: 2.635 Weight: 0.409 Standard error: 0.366	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Bilgin (2006)	The Effect of Cooperative Learning Approach Based on Conceptual Change Condition on Students' Understanding of Chemical Equilibrium Concepts (Journal of Science Education and Technology)	Effect Size: 1.875 LCI: 1.367 UCI: 2.383 Weight: 0.469 Standard error: 0.259	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Bonaparte (1990)	The effects of cooperative versus competitive classroom organization for mastery learning on the mathematical achievement and self-esteem of urban second-grade pupils (NA)	Effect Size: 1.764 LCI: 1.326 UCI: 2.203 Weight: 0.488 Standard error: 0.224	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Garibaldi (1979)	Affective contributions of cooperative and group goal structures. (Journal of Educational Psychology)	Effect Size: 1.695 LCI: 0.995 UCI: 2.395 Weight: 0.414 Standard error: 0.357	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Mesch (1986)	Isolated Teenagers, Cooperative Learning, and the Training of Social Skills (The Journal of Psychology)	Effect Size: 1.582 LCI: -0.425 UCI: 3.589 Weight: 0.148 Standard error: 1.024	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
lşık (2009) 1_1	The effects of the cooperative learning method supported by multiple intelligence theory on Turkish elementary students' mathematics achievement (Asia Pacific Education Review)	Effect Size: 1.565 LCI: 1.051 UCI: 2.079 Weight: 0.468 Standard error: 0.262	-4	-2	0	2	4	6





Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (Gra	aph)			
Palincsar (1984)	Reciprocal Teaching of Comprehension-Fostering and Comprehension-Monitoring Activities (Cognition and Instruction)	Effect Size: 1.524 LCI: 0.239 UCI: 2.81 Weight: 0.261 Standard error: 0.656	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Olson (1990) CL	The revising processes of the sixth-grade writers with and without peer feedback (Journal of Educational Research)	Effect Size: 1.524 LCI: 0.868 UCI: 2.18 Weight: 0.427 Standard error: 0.335	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Okebukola (1985) 1_2	Cooperative and Competitive Interaction Techniques in Strengthening Students' Performance in Science Classes (Science Education)	Effect Size: 1.518 LCI: 1.231 UCI: 1.805 Weight: 0.522 Standard error: 0.146	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Shachar (1994)	Talking, Relating, and Achieving: Effects of Cooperative Learning and Whole-Class Instruction (Cognition and Instruction)	Effect Size: 1.516 LCI: 1.229 UCI: 1.804 Weight: 0.522 Standard error: 0.147	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Lumpe (1995)	Peer collaboration and concept development: Learning about photosynthesis (Journal of Research in Science Teaching)	Effect Size: 1.473 LCI: 0.5 UCI: 2.446 Weight: 0.336 Standard error: 0.497	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Okebukola (1986)	The problem of large classes in science: An experiment in cooperative learning (European Journal of Science Education)	Effect Size: 1.436 LCI: 1.141 UCI: 1.73 Weight: 0.52 Standard error: 0.15	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Guthrie (2004) 1_1	Increasing Reading Comprehension and Engagement Through Concept-Oriented Reading Instruction. (Journal of Educational Psychology)	Effect Size: 1.32 LCI: 0.318 UCI: 2.322 Weight: 0.329 Standard error: 0.511	-4 -2	-	2	4	6
Gillies (2000)	The Effects of Cooperative Learning on Students with Learning Difficulties in the Lower Elementary School (The Journal of Special Education)	Effect Size: 1.271 LCI: 0.335 UCI: 2.207 Weight: 0.347 Standard error: 0.478	-4 -2	- 0	2	4	6
Kumar (1998)	Cooperative Learning-Based Approach and Development of Learning Awareness and Achievement in Mathematics in Elementary School (Psychological Reports)	Effect Size: 1.231 LCI: 0.676 UCI: 1.786 Weight: 0.456 Standard error: 0.283	-4 -2	0	2	4	6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (Graph)			
lşık (2009) 1_2	The effects of the cooperative learning method supported by multiple intelligence theory on Turkish elementary students' mathematics achievement (Asia Pacific Education Review)	Effect Size: 1.223 LCI: 0.719 UCI: 1.726 Weight: 0.471 Standard error: 0.257	-4 -2	2	4	6
Smith (1982)	Effects of Cooperative and Individualistic Instruction on the Achievement of Handicapped, Regular, and Gifted Students (The Journal of Social Psychology)	Effect Size: 1.22 LCI: 0.652 UCI: 1.788 Weight: 0.452 Standard error: 0.29	-4 -2	2	4	6
Guthrie (2004) 1_2	Increasing Reading Comprehension and Engagement Through Concept-Oriented Reading Instruction. (Journal of Educational Psychology)	Effect Size: 1.188 LCI: -0.111 UCI: 2.486 Weight: 0.258 Standard error: 0.663	-4 -2	2	4	6
Sherman (1986)	Mathematics Achievement in Cooperative versus Individualistic Goal-Structured High School Classrooms (The Journal of Educational Research)	Effect Size: 1.161 LCI: 0.467 UCI: 1.854 Weight: 0.416 Standard error: 0.354	-4 -2	2	4	6
De Ligny (1996)	How will the use of the Jigsaw technique of cooperative learning affect mathematics achievement of sixth graders? (NA)	Effect Size: 1.144 LCI: 0.486 UCI: 1.801 Weight: 0.427 Standard error: 0.335	-4 -2	2	4	6
Okebukola (1985) 1_1	Cooperative and Competitive Interaction Techniques in Strengthening Students' Performance in Science Classes (Science Education)	Effect Size: 1.141 LCI: 0.867 UCI: 1.416 Weight: 0.524 Standard error: 0.14	-4 -2	2	4	6
Trowbridge (1984) CL	Individual vs. group usage of computer based learning materials (National Educational Computing Conference)	Effect Size: 1.101 LCI: 0.752 UCI: 1.45 Weight: 0.509 Standard error: 0.178	-4 -2	2	4	6
Mesch (1988)	Impact of Positive Interdependence and Academic Group Contingencies on Achievement (The Journal of Social Psychology)	Effect Size: 1.092 LCI: 0.517 UCI: 1.667 Weight: 0.451 Standard error: 0.293	-4 -2	2	4	6
Stevahn (1996) 1_2	The Impact of a Cooperative or Individualistic Context on the Effectiveness of Conflict Resolution Training (American Educational Research Journal)	Effect Size: 1.071 LCI: 0.508 UCI: 1.634 Weight: 0.454 Standard error: 0.287	-4 -2	2	4	6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (Graph)
Graham (2005) CL	Improving the writing performance, knowledge, and self-efficacy of struggling young writers: The effects of self-regulated strategy development (Contemporary Educational Psychology)	Effect Size: 1.066 LCI: 0.201 UCI: 1.932 Weight: 0.366 Standard error: 0.442	-4 -2 0 2 4 6
Palincsar (1987)	Collaborating for Collaborative Learning of Text Comprehension (NA)	Effect Size: 1.02 LCI: 0.652 UCI: 1.388 Weight: 0.505 Standard error: 0.188	-4 -2 0 2 4 6
Tarim (2008)	The effects of cooperative learning on Turkish elementary students' mathematics achievement and attitude towards mathematics using TAI and STAD methods (Educational Studies in Mathematics)	Effect Size: 1.003 LCI: 0.686 UCI: 1.32 Weight: 0.516 Standard error: 0.162	-4 -2 0 2 4 6
Laney (1996) CL	The Effect of Cooperative and Mastery Learning Methods on Primary Grade Students' Learning and Retention of Economic Concepts (Early Education and Development)	Effect Size: 0.965 LCI: 0.428 UCI: 1.502 Weight: 0.461 Standard error: 0.274	-4 -2 0 2 4 6
Slavin (1979) 1_2	Effects of Cooperative Reward Structures and Individual Accountability on Productivity and Learning (The Journal of Educational Research)	Effect Size: 0.955 LCI: 0.192 UCI: 1.718 Weight: 0.396 Standard error: 0.389	-4 -2 0 2 4 6
Johnson (1986)	Comparison Of Computer-Assisted Cooperative, Competitive, And Individualistic Learning (American Educational Research Journal)	Effect Size: 0.91 LCI: 0.313 UCI: 1.507 Weight: 0.444 Standard error: 0.304	-4 -2 0 2 4 6
Dalton (1989)	Effects of individual and cooperative computer-assisted instruction on student performance and attitudes (Educational Technology Research and Development)	Effect Size: 0.907 LCI: 0.374 UCI: 1.441 Weight: 0.462 Standard error: 0.272	-4 -2 0 2 4 6
Leighton (1989)	Achievement Effects of Individual, Small Group, and Cooperative Learning Strategies on Math Problem-Solving. Report No. 40. (NA)	Effect Size: 0.885 LCI: 0.58 UCI: 1.189 Weight: 0.518 Standard error: 0.155	-4 -2 0 2 4 6
Kelly (1994)	Reciprocal Teaching in a Regular Primary School Classroom (Journal of Educational Research)	Effect Size: 0.872 LCI: -0.337 UCI: 2.081 Weight: 0.278 Standard error: 0.617	-4 -2 0 2 4 6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (G	raph)			
Graziano (1976)	Peer Interaction in Same- and Mixed-Age Triads in Relation to Chronological Age and Incentive Condition (Child Development)	Effect Size: 0.865 LCI: 0.596 UCI: 1.134 Weight: 0.525 Standard error: 0.137	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Wilson (1978)	Relationship of diagnostic-cooperative teaching approach to mathematics achievement and personal-social adjustment of inner city intermediate students (1979)	Effect Size: 0.861 LCI: 0.824 UCI: 0.898 Weight: 0.55 Standard error: 0.019	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Stevens (1991)	The effects of cooperative learning and direct instruction in reading comprehension strategies on main idea identification (Journal of Educational Psychology)	Effect Size: 0.855 LCI: 0.626 UCI: 1.084 Weight: 0.532 Standard error: 0.117	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Barbato (2000)	Policy implications of cooperative learning on the achievement and attitudes of secondary school mathematics students (ProQuest Dissertations and Theses)	Effect Size: 0.841 LCI: 0.557 UCI: 1.125 Weight: 0.523 Standard error: 0.145	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Lampe (1996)	Effects of Cooperative Learning Among Hispanic Students in Elementary Social Studies (The Journal of Educational Research)	Effect Size: 0.834 LCI: 0.419 UCI: 1.249 Weight: 0.494 Standard error: 0.212	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Kramarski (2009) FB	Group-Metacognitive Support for Online Inquiry in Mathematics with Differential Self-Questioning (Journal of Educational Computing Research)	Effect Size: 0.831 LCI: 0.334 UCI: 1.329 Weight: 0.472 Standard error: 0.254	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Johnson (1993)	Impact of Cooperative and Individualistic Learning on High-Ability Students' Achievement, Self-Esteem, and Social Acceptance (The Journal of Social Psychology)	Effect Size: 0.821 LCI: 0.118 UCI: 1.525 Weight: 0.413 Standard error: 0.359	-4 -2	0	- 2	4	6
Kahl (1994)	Using elaborative interrogation to facilitate acquisition of factual information in cooperative learning settings: One good strategy deserves another (Applied Cognitive Psychology)	Effect Size: 0.8 LCI: 0.094 UCI: 1.506 Weight: 0.413 Standard error: 0.36	-4 -2	0	- 2	4	6
DeVries (1976)	Student Teams Can Improve Basic Skills: TGT Applied to Reading. (NA)	Effect Size: 0.762 LCI: 0.204 UCI: 1.32 Weight: 0.456 Standard error: 0.284	-4 -2	0	2	4	6





Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Siz	e (Graph	1)			
Slavin (1979) 1_1	Effects of Cooperative Reward Structures and Individual Accountability on Productivity and Learning	Effect Size: 0.76 LCI: 0.04			- -	-		
1_1	(The Journal of Educational Research)	UCI: 1.481 Weight: 0.408 Standard error: 0.368	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Balfakih (2003)	The effectiveness of student team-achievement division (STAD) for teaching high school chemistry in the United Arab	Effect Size: 0.759 LCI: 0.377						
	Emirates (International Journal of Science Education)	UCI: 1.142 Weight: 0.501 Standard error: 0.195	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Shachar (2004)	Cooperative learning and the achievement of motivation and perceptions of students in 11th grade chemistry classes	Effect Size: 0.745 LCI: 0.429						
	(Learning and Instruction)	UCI: 1.061 Weight: 0.516 Standard error: 0.161	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Atkins (1993) CL	Gender effects in self-management training: Individual versus cooperative interventions	Effect Size: 0.744 LCI: 0.025			 -	-		
	(Psychology in the Schools)	UCI: 1.464 Weight: 0.408 Standard error: 0.367	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Artzt (1983)	The comparative effects of the student-team method of instruction and the traditional teacher-centered method of	Effect Size: 0.74 LCI: 0.485						
	instruction upon student achievement, attitude, and social interaction in high school mathematics course (NA)	UCI: 0.995 Weight: 0.528 Standard error: 0.13	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Bos (1992) CL	Using Interactive Teaching and Learning Strategies to Promote Text Comprehension and Content Learning for	Effect Size: 0.738 LCI: -0.01				-		
	Students with Learning Disabilities (International Journal of Disability, Development and Education)	UCI: 1.487 Weight: 0.4 Standard error: 0.382	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Whicker (1997)	Cooperative Learning in the Secondary Mathematics Classroom	Effect Size: 0.734 LCI: 0.003			<u></u>	-		
	(The Journal of Educational Research)	UCI: 1.465 Weight: 0.405 Standard error: 0.373	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Gayford (1995)	Science Education and Sustainability: a case-study in discussion-based learning	Effect Size: 0.711 LCI: 0.419						
	(Research in Science & Technological Education)	UCI: 1.003 Weight: 0.521 Standard error: 0.149	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Taylor (1992)	Comprehension strategy instruction in the intermediate grades	Effect Size: 0.701 LCI: 0.362						
	(Literacy Research and Instruction)	UCI: 1.039 Weight: 0.511 Standard error: 0.173	-4	-2	0	2	4	6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (G	raph)			
Sampson (1982)	The effects of instructional cloze on the comprehension, vocabulary, and divergent production of third-grade students	Effect Size: 0.67 LCI: 0.258		I			
` ,	(Reading Research Quarterly)	UCI: 1.082 Weight: 0.494 Standard error: 0.21	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Slavin (1985) 1_2	Effects of Whole Class, Ability Grouped, and Individualized Instruction on Mathematics Achievement	Effect Size: 0.669 LCI: 0.396					
1_2	(American Educational Research Journal)	UCI: 0.942 Weight: 0.525 Standard error: 0.139	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Roussey (1992) FB	Effects of social regulation and computer assistance on the monitoring of writing	Effect Size : 0.667 LCI : 0.217		I			
	(European Journal of Psychology of Education)	UCI: 1.117 Weight: 0.485 Standard error: 0.23	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Wise (1992) CL	The effects of revision instruction on eighth graders' persuasive writing	Effect Size: 0.656 LCI: 0.224		1			
	(NA)	UCI: 1.089 Weight: 0.489 Standard error: 0.221	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Unrau (1991) CL	The Effects of Explicit Instruction on Critical Reading and Argumentative Writing: The TASK of Reading and Writing	Effect Size: 0.654 LCI: 0.152		I-			
o.	(Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association)	UCI: 1.156 Weight: 0.471 Standard error: 0.256	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Ortiz (1996)	The Effect of Positive Goal and Resource Interdependence on Individual Performance	Effect Size: 0.652 LCI: -0.173			-		
	(The Journal of Social Psychology)	UCI: 1.477 Weight: 0.378 Standard error: 0.421	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Reid (1992)	The Effects of Cooperative Learning with Intergroup Competition on the Math Achievement of Seventh Grade	Effect Size: 0.649 LCI: 0.079		l -			
	Students. (NA)	UCI: 1.219 Weight: 0.452 Standard error: 0.291	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Skon (1981)	Cooperative peer interaction versus individual competition and individualistic efforts: Effects on the acquisition of	Effect Size: 0.619 LCI: 0.064		l-			
	cognitive reasoning strategies. (Journal of Educational Psychology)	UCI: 1.173 Weight: 0.456 Standard error: 0.283	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Harskamp (2006)	Structured Collaboration versus Individual Learning in Solving Physics Problems	Effect Size: 0.616 LCI: 0.053		- - -			
. ,	(International Journal of Science Education)	UCI: 1.179 Weight: 0.454 Standard error: 0.287	-4 -2	0	2	4	6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (G	raph)			
Ugwu (2004) 1_2	The effects of concept and vee mappings under three learning modes on Jamaican eighth graders' knowledge of	Effect Size: 0.607 LCI: 0.398		I			
	nutrition and plant reproduction (Research in Science & Technological Education)	UCI: 0.815 Weight: 0.535 Standard error: 0.106	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Mevarech (1985) 1_1	The effects of cooperative mastery learning strategies on mathematics achievement	Effect Size: 0.597 LCI: 0.103		ı			
(1903) 1_1	(Journal of Educational Research)	UCI: 1.091 Weight: 0.473 Standard error: 0.252	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Reznitskaya (2001)	Influence of Oral Discussion on Written Argument (Discourse Processes)	Effect Size: 0.59 LCI: 0.218					
` ,		UCI: 0.962 Weight: 0.504 Standard error: 0.19	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Fischer (1989)	An experimental study of reciprocal teaching of expository text with third, fourth, and fifth-grade students enrolled in	Effect Size: 0.547 LCI: 0.302					
	Chapter 1 Reading (NA)	UCI: 0.793 Weight: 0.529 Standard error: 0.125	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Merebah (1987)	Cooperative learning in science: A comparative study in Saudi Arabia	Effect Size: 0.54 LCI: 0.33					
	(NA)	UCI: 0.749 Weight: 0.535 Standard error: 0.107	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Suyanto (1998)	The effects of Student Teams-Achievement Divisions on mathematics achievement in Yogyakarta rural primary	Effect Size: 0.537 LCI: 0.382					
	schools (ProQuest Dissertations and Theses)	UCI: 0.692 Weight: 0.542 Standard error: 0.079	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Mevarech (1991) 1_1	Learning Mathematics in Different Mastery Environments (The Journal of Educational Research)	Effect Size: 0.528 LCI: -0.025		:			
		UCI: 1.081 Weight: 0.457 Standard error: 0.282	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Ugwu (2004) 1_1	The effects of concept and vee mappings under three learning modes on Jamaican eighth graders' knowledge of	Effect Size: 0.523 LCI: 0.298					
	nutrition and plant reproduction (Research in Science & Technological Education)	UCI: 0.749 Weight: 0.533 Standard error: 0.115	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Klingner (2004)	Collaborative strategic reading: "Real-world" lessons from classroom teachers	Effect Size: 0.492 LCI: 0.218					
	(Remedial and Special Education)	UCI: 0.767 Weight: 0.524 Standard error: 0.14	-4 -2	0	2	4	6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (Graph)			
Edwards (1972)	Games and Teams: A Winning Combination (Simulation & Games)	Effect Size: 0.48 LCI: 0.088				
		UCI: 0.872 Weight: 0.499 Standard error: 0.2	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Guthrie (1999) 1_2	Influences of Concept-Oriented Reading Instruction on Strategy Use and Conceptual Learning from Text	Effect Size: 0.479 LCI: 0.083				
1_2	(The Elementary School Journal)	UCI: 0.875 Weight: 0.498 Standard error: 0.202	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Dori (1995)	The Effect of Teaching the Cell Topic Using the Jigsaw Method on Students' Achievement and Learning Activity.	Effect Size: 0.478 LCI: 0.091				
	(NA)	UCI: 0.864 Weight: 0.501 Standard error: 0.197	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Sancilio (1992) CL	Two versus one? The effects of pairing friends on cognition during collaborative learning with Logo	Effect Size: 0.478 LCI: -0.194	+ + +	-		
ot.	(NA)	UCI: 1.149 Weight: 0.423 Standard error: 0.343	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Conring (2009)	The effects of cooperative learning on mathematic achievement in second graders	Effect Size: 0.469 LCI: -0.131	+ + +	.		
	(ProQuest Dissertations and Theses)	UCI: 1.068 Weight: 0.443 Standard error: 0.306	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Singhanayok	The effects of cooperative learning and learner control on	Effect Size: 0.454	+ +	—		
(1998) 1_1	students' achievement, option selections, and attitudes (Educational Technology Research and Development)	LCI: -0.398 UCI: 1.307 Weight: 0.37 Standard error: 0.435	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Xin (1999) CL	Computer-Assisted Cooperative Learning in Integrated Classrooms for Students With and Without Disabilities	Effect Size: 0.438 LCI: 0.027				
	(Information Technology in Childhood Education Annual)	UCI: 0.85 Weight: 0.494 Standard error: 0.21	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Klingner (1998)	Collaborative Strategic Reading during Social Studies in Heterogeneous Fourth-Grade Classrooms	Effect Size: 0.43 LCI: 0.088				
	(The Elementary School Journal)	UCI: 0.771 Weight: 0.511 Standard error: 0.174	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Guthrie (1998) 1_2	Does concept-oriented reading instruction increase strategy use and conceptual learning from text?	Effect Size: 0.423 LCI: -0.015				
	(Journal of Educational Psychology)	UCI: 0.861 Weight: 0.488 Standard error: 0.224	-4 -2 0	2	4	6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (Graph)				
Lysynchuk (1990)	Reciprocal teaching improves standardized reading- comprehension performance in poor comprehenders (The Elementary School Journal)	Effect Size: 0.422 LCI: -0.045 UCI: 0.89	-4 -2 0	-	2	4	6
	(The Elementary Concorded many	Weight: 0.48 Standard error: 0.238	7 2 0		_	7	Ü
Faro (2006)	An Investigation into the Efficacy of the Studio Model at the High School Level	Effect Size: 0.401 LCI: -0.225	1	•			
	(Journal of Educational Computing Research)	UCI: 1.028 Weight: 0.436 Standard error: 0.32	-4 -2 0		2	4	6
Kosters (1990)	The effects of cooperative learning in the traditional classroom on student achievement and attitude -	Effect Size: 0.397 LCI: -0.156	1 1 1	-			
	(NA)	UCI: 0.95 Weight: 0.457 Standard error: 0.282	-4 -2 0		2	4	6
Slavin (1981)	Effects of Cooperative Learning Teams on Student Achievement and Race Relations: Treatment by Race	Effect Size: 0.38 LCI: 0.092					
	Interactions (Sociology of Education)	UCI: 0.668 Weight: 0.522 Standard error: 0.147	-4 -2 0		2	4	6
Law (2008)	Effects of cooperative learning on second graders' learning from text	Effect Size: 0.377 LCI: 0.113					
	(Educational Psychology)	UCI: 0.64 Weight: 0.526 Standard error: 0.134	-4 -2 0		2	4	6
Lew (1986)	Components of cooperative learning: Effects of collaborative skills and academic group contingencies on achievement	Effect Size: 0.36 LCI: -0.11					
	and mainstreaming (Contemporary Educational Psychology)	UCI: 0.83 Weight: 0.479 Standard error: 0.24	-4 -2 0		2	4	6
Stevens (2003)	Student Team Reading and Writing: A Cooperative Learning Approach to Middle School Literacy Instruction	Effect Size: 0.357 LCI: 0.294					
	(Educational Research and Evaluation)	UCI: 0.42 Weight: 0.549 Standard error: 0.032	-4 -2 0		2	4	6
Petersen (1991)	Effects of Cooperative Learning on Perceived Status of Male and Female Pupils	Effect Size: 0.354 LCI: -0.192	1 1 1	-			
	(The Journal of Social Psychology)	UCI: 0.901 Weight: 0.459 Standard error: 0.279	-4 -2 0	_	2	4	6
Love (1969) CL	Individual Versus Paired Learning of an Abstract Algebra Presented by Computer Assisted Instruction	Effect Size: 0.35 LCI: -0.24	1 1 1	-			
	(NA)	UCI: 0.939 Weight: 0.446 Standard error: 0.301	-4 -2 0		2	4	6





Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (Graph)			
Stevens (1987) 1_2	Cooperative Integrated Reading and Composition: Two Field Experiments	Effect Size: 0.348 LCI: 0.157				
	(Reading Research Quarterly)	UCI: 0.54 Weight: 0.538 Standard error: 0.098	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Qualter (2000)	Approaches to Teaching Science in the Jordanian Primary School	Effect Size: 0.344 LCI: 0.184				
	(Research in Science & Technological Education)	UCI: 0.503 Weight: 0.542 Standard error: 0.082	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Wright (1985) CL	The effects of peer-teaching on student perceptions of class environment, adjustment, and academic performance	Effect Size: 0.34 LCI: -0.077				
	(American Journal of Community Psychology)	UCI: 0.756 Weight: 0.493 Standard error: 0.212	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Mevarech (1991) CL	Learning with computers in small groups: Cognitive and affective outcomes	Effect Size: 0.334 LCI: 0.003				
(1991) GE	(Journal of Educational Computing Research)	UCI: 0.665 Weight: 0.513 Standard error: 0.169	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Chapman (1997) CL	Instructing narrative text: Using children's concept of story with reciprocal teaching activities to foster story	Effect Size: 0.317 LCI: -0.488	· · · · ·	. !		
(1337) 62	understanding and metacognition (NA)	UCI: 1.122 Weight: 0.384 Standard error: 0.411	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Hooper (1993) CL	The effects of cooperative learning and learner control on high- and average-ability students	Effect Size: 0.305 LCI: -0.005	1 1			
OL.	(Educational Technology Research and Development)	UCI: 0.615 Weight: 0.517 Standard error: 0.158	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Lucker (1976)	Performance in the Interdependent Classroom: A Field Study (American Educational Research Journal)	Effect Size: 0.298 LCI: 0.071				
	(American Educational Neoccaron Godinar)	UCI: 0.525 Weight: 0.532 Standard error: 0.116	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Stevens (1995)	The Cooperative Elementary School: Effects on Students' Achievement, Attitudes, and Social Relations	Effect Size: 0.281 LCI: 0.147				
	(American Educational Research Journal)	UCI: 0.414 Weight: 0.544 Standard error: 0.068	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Cavaier (1998) CL	Effects of cooperative versus individual learning and orienting activities during computer-based instruction	Effect Size: 0.279 LCI: -0.378	-			
	(Educational Technology Research and Development)	UCI: 0.936 Weight: 0.427 Standard error: 0.335	-4 -2 0	2	4	6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (Graph)		
Slavin (1984) 1_1	Team Assisted Individualization: Cooperative Learning and Individualized Instruction in the Mainstreamed Classroom (Remedial and Special Education)	Effect Size: 0.275 LCI: 0.042 UCI: 0.508 Weight: 0.531 Standard error: 0.119	-4 -2 0 2	4	6
Stevahn (1996) 1_1	The Impact of a Cooperative or Individualistic Context on the Effectiveness of Conflict Resolution Training (American Educational Research Journal)	Effect Size: 0.271 LCI: -0.265 UCI: 0.807 Weight: 0.462 Standard error: 0.274	-4 -2 0 2	4	6
Ghaith (2003)	Effects of the Learning Together Model of Cooperative Learning on English as a Foreign Language Reading Achievement, Academic Self-Esteem, and Feelings of School Alienation (Bilingual Research Journal)	Effect Size: 0.266 LCI: -0.26 UCI: 0.792 Weight: 0.464 Standard error: 0.268	-4 -2 0 2	4	6
Holliday (1995)	The effects of the cooperative learning strategy Jigsaw II on academic achievement and cross-race relationships in a secondary social studies classroom (NA)	Effect Size: 0.266 LCI: -0.13 UCI: 0.663 Weight: 0.498 Standard error: 0.202	-4 -2 0 2	4	6
Uttero (1992)	The effects of the instruction-modeling-cooperative engagement model on children's print comprehension in science (NA)	Effect Size: 0.262 LCI: -0.033 UCI: 0.557 Weight: 0.52 Standard error: 0.15	-4 -2 0 2	4	6
Stevens (1989)	A Cooperative Learning Approach to Elementary Reading and Writing Instruction: Long-Term Effects. Report No. 42. (NA)	Effect Size: 0.26 LCI: 0.088 UCI: 0.431 Weight: 0.54 Standard error: 0.087	-4 -2 0 2	4	6
Hulten (1976)	Team Competition and Group Practice: Effects on Student Achievement and Attitudes. Report No. 212. (NA)	Effect Size: 0.26 LCI: 0.002 UCI: 0.518 Weight: 0.527 Standard error: 0.132	-4 -2 0 2	4	6
Ross (1988) 1_1	Improving Social-Environmental Studies Problem Solving Through Cooperative Learning (American Educational Research Journal)	Effect Size: 0.259 LCI: 0.002 UCI: 0.516 Weight: 0.527 Standard error: 0.131	-4 -2 0 2	4	6
Slavin (1980) 1_1	Effects of Student Teams and Peer Tutoring on Academic Achievement and Time On-Task (The Journal of Experimental Education)	Effect Size: 0.255 LCI: -0.109 UCI: 0.619 Weight: 0.506 Standard error: 0.186	-4 -2 0 2	4	6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (Graph)
Madden (1983)	Effects of Cooperative Learning On the Social Acceptance of Mainstreamed Academically Handicapped Students (The Journal of Special Education)	Effect Size: 0.254 LCI: -0.071 UCI: 0.578 Weight: 0.514 Standard error: 0.166	-4 -2 0 2 4
Slavin (1984)	Mastery Learning and Student Teams: A Factorial Experiment in Urban General Mathematics Classes (American Educational Research Journal)	Effect Size: 0.248 LCI: 0.019 UCI: 0.476 Weight: 0.532 Standard error: 0.117	-4 -2 0 2 4
Mevarech (1991) 1_2	Learning Mathematics in Different Mastery Environments (The Journal of Educational Research)	Effect Size: 0.248 LCI: -0.248 UCI: 0.744 Weight: 0.473 Standard error: 0.253	-4 -2 0 2 4
Williams (1988)	The effects of cooperative team learning on student achievement and student attitude in the algebra classroom (NA)	Effect Size: 0.241 LCI: -0.066 UCI: 0.547 Weight: 0.518 Standard error: 0.156	-4 -2 0 2 4
Hänze (2007)	Cooperative learning, motivational effects, and student characteristics: An experimental study comparing cooperative learning and direct instruction in 12th grade physics classes (Learning and Instruction)	Effect Size: 0.235 LCI: -0.101 UCI: 0.571 Weight: 0.512 Standard error: 0.172	-4 -2 0 2 4
Singhanayok (1998) 1_2	The effects of cooperative learning and learner control on students' achievement, option selections, and attitudes (Educational Technology Research and Development)	Effect Size: 0.218 LCI: -0.368 UCI: 0.805 Weight: 0.447 Standard error: 0.299	-4 -2 0 2 4
Takala (2006)	The effects of reciprocal teaching on reading comprehension in mainstream and special (SLI) education (Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research)	Effect Size: 0.215 LCI: -0.472 UCI: 0.902 Weight: 0.418 Standard error: 0.351	-4 -2 0 2 4
Johnson- Glenberg (2000) 1_1	Training reading comprehension in adequate decoders/poor comprehenders: Verbal versus visual strategies (Journal of Educational Psychology)	Effect Size: 0.21 LCI: -0.484 UCI: 0.904 Weight: 0.416 Standard error: 0.354	-4 -2 0 2 4
Harrison (1991) CL	The effects of cooperative grouping and ability on achievement by subjects using a computer-based lesson with instructional options (NA)	Effect Size: 0.21 LCI: -0.179 UCI: 0.6 Weight: 0.5 Standard error: 0.199	-4 -2 0 2 4



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (Graph)			
Mevarech (1993) CL	Who benefits from cooperative computer-assisted instruction? (Journal of Educational Computing Research)	Effect Size: 0.207 LCI: -0.18 UCI: 0.595 Weight: 0.5 Standard error: 0.198	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Whyte (1991) CL	Individualistic versus paired/cooperative computer-assisted instruction: Matching instructional method with cognitive style (Journal of educational technology systems)	Effect Size: 0.205 LCI: -0.243 UCI: 0.654 Weight: 0.485 Standard error: 0.229	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Stevens (1987) 1_1	Cooperative Integrated Reading and Composition: Two Field Experiments (Reading Research Quarterly)	Effect Size: 0.205 LCI: 0.022 UCI: 0.389 Weight: 0.539 Standard error: 0.094	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Lazarowitz (1988)	Academic Achievement and On-Task Behavior of High School Biology Students Instructed in a Cooperative Small Investigative Group (Science Education)	Effect Size: 0.203 LCI: -0.258 UCI: 0.664 Weight: 0.482 Standard error: 0.235	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Piercy (1997)	The effects of multi-strategy instruction upon reading comprehension (NA)	Effect Size: 0.2 LCI: -0.094 UCI: 0.494 Weight: 0.521 Standard error: 0.15	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Slavin (1983)	Combining Student Teams and Individualized Instruction in Mathematics: An Extended Evaluation. (NA)	Effect Size: 0.2 LCI: 0.117 UCI: 0.283 Weight: 0.548 Standard error: 0.042	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Hernandez (1997)	Effects of teaching problem-solving through cooperative learning methods on student mathematics achievement, attitudes toward mathematics, mathematics self-efficacy, and metacognition (NA)	Effect Size: 0.2 LCI: -0.402 UCI: 0.802 Weight: 0.443 Standard error: 0.307	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Mevarech (1994) CL	The effectiveness of individualized versus cooperative computer-based integrated learning systems (International Journal of Educational Research)	Effect Size: 0.197 LCI: -0.018 UCI: 0.412 Weight: 0.534 Standard error: 0.11	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Slavin (1984)	Combining Cooperative Learning and Individualized Instruction: Effects on Student Mathematics Achievement, Attitudes, and Behaviors (The Elementary School Journal)	Effect Size: 0.195 LCI: -0.038 UCI: 0.427 Weight: 0.532 Standard error: 0.119	-4 -2 0	2	4	6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (Graph)				
Gordon (1985)	Cooperative learning: A comparative study of attitude and achievement of two groups of grade seven mathematics classes (NA)	Effect Size: 0.19 LCI: -0.34 UCI: 0.72 Weight: 0.463 Standard error: 0.27	-4 -2 0	-	2	4	6
Wolf (1994) CL	Effects of cooperative learning and learner control in computer-based instruction (NA)	Effect Size: 0.186 LCI: -0.165 UCI: 0.537 Weight: 0.509 Standard error: 0.179	-4 -2 0		2	4	6
Slavin (1982) 1_2	Student Teams and Mastery Learning: A Factorial Experiment in Urban Math Nine Classes. (NA)	Effect Size: 0.178 LCI: -0.058 UCI: 0.414 Weight: 0.531 Standard error: 0.12	-4 -2 0		2	4	6
Lazarowitz (1996)	Teaching biology in a group mastery learning mode: high school students' academic achievement and affective outcomes (International Journal of Science Education)	Effect Size: 0.175 LCI: -0.196 UCI: 0.546 Weight: 0.504 Standard error: 0.189	-4 -2 0		2	4	6
Giannitti (1988) CL	An experimental investigation of the relationships among learning style sociological preferences of middle school students, their attitudes and achievement in social studies, and selected instructional strategies (NA)	Effect Size: 0.175 LCI: -0.104 UCI: 0.453 Weight: 0.523 Standard error: 0.142	-4 -2 0		2	4	6
Stevens (1995)	Effects of a Cooperative Learning Approach in Reading and Writing on Academically Handicapped and Nonhandicapped Students (The Elementary School Journal)	Effect Size: 0.171 LCI: 0.062 UCI: 0.28 Weight: 0.546 Standard error: 0.056	-4 -2 0		2	4	6
Nichols (1996)	The Effects of Cooperative Learning on Student Achievement and Motivation in a High School Geometry Class (Contemporary Educational Psychology)	Effect Size: 0.164 LCI: -0.275 UCI: 0.603 Weight: 0.488 Standard error: 0.224	-4 -2 0		2	4	6
Araz (2007)	Effectiveness of problem-based learning on academic performance in genetics (Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education)	Effect Size: 0.158 LCI: -0.112 UCI: 0.428 Weight: 0.525 Standard error: 0.138	-4 -2 0		2	4	6
Hawkins (1985)	Changing Teaching Practices in Mainstream Classrooms to Improve Bonding and Behavior of Low Achievers (American Educational Research Journal)	Effect Size: 0.157 LCI: -0.19 UCI: 0.504 Weight: 0.51 Standard error: 0.177	-4 -2 0		2	4	6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (Graph)			
Slavin (2009) 1_1	The Reading Edge: a randomized evaluation of a middle school cooperative reading program (Effective Education)	Effect Size: 0.152 LCI: -0.043 UCI: 0.347 Weight: 0.537 Standard error: 0.1	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
		Standard error. U. I				
Slavin (1984)	Effects of team assisted individualization on the mathematics achievement of academically handicapped and nonhandicapped students.	Effect Size: 0.151 LCI: 0.044 UCI: 0.257	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
	(Journal of Educational Psychology)	Weight: 0.547 Standard error: 0.054				
Slavin (1984) 1_2	Team Assisted Individualization: Cooperative Learning and Individualized Instruction in the Mainstreamed Classroom	Effect Size: 0.151 LCI: 0.044				
	(Remedial and Special Education)	UCI: 0.257 Weight: 0.547 Standard error: 0.054	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Slavin (1982) 1_1	Student Teams and Mastery Learning: A Factorial Experiment in Urban Math Nine Classes.	Effect Size: 0.15 LCI: -0.072				
	(NA)	UCI: 0.373 Weight: 0.533 Standard error: 0.114	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Guthrie (1999) 1_1	Influences of Concept-Oriented Reading Instruction on Strategy Use and Conceptual Learning from Text	Effect Size: 0.148 LCI: -0.36	-	.		
	(The Elementary School Journal)	UCI: 0.656 Weight: 0.469 Standard error: 0.259	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Miller (2017)	Success for All (NA)	Effect Size: 0.141 LCI: 0.033				
	(NA)	UCI: 0.248 Weight: 0.547 Standard error: 0.055	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Slavin (1984)	Effects of Cooperative Learning and Individualized Instruction on Mainstreamed Students	Effect Size: 0.139 LCI: -0.381	-	l i		
	(Exceptional Children)	UCI: 0.66 Weight: 0.466 Standard error: 0.266	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Chamberlain (2007)	A randomized evaluation of the Success for All Middle School reading program	Effect Size: 0.134 LCI: -0.061				
()	(Middle Grades Research Journal,)	UCI: 0.329 Weight: 0.537 Standard error: 0.1	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Vaughn (2011)	Efficacy of Collaborative Strategic Reading With Middle School Students	Effect Size: 0.122 LCI: -0.018				
	(American Educational Research Journal)	UCI: 0.262 Weight: 0.544 Standard error: 0.072	-4 -2 0	2	4	6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (Graph)			
Stevens (1992)	Using Student Team Reading and Student Team Writing in Middle Schools: Two Evaluations.	Effect Size: 0.12 LCI: 0.004				
	(NA)	UCI: 0.236 Weight: 0.546 Standard error: 0.059	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Ravid (1992)	The use of cooperative learning methods in Jewish schools. (Journal of Research & Development in Education)	Effect Size: 0.116 LCI: -0.328				
	(Southar of research & Development in Education)	UCI: 0.561 Weight: 0.486 Standard error: 0.227	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Slavin (1981)	Cognitive and Affective Outcomes of an Intensive Student Team Learning Experience	Effect Size: 0.11 LCI: -0.093				
	(The Journal of Experimental Education)	UCI: 0.312 Weight: 0.536 Standard error: 0.103	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Starr (1974)	An Experiment in Small-Group Learning. (American Biology Teacher)	Effect Size: 0.108 LCI: -0.021	1 1			
	(American biology reacher)	UCI: 0.237 Weight: 0.545 Standard error: 0.066	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Kramarski (2003)	Enhancing Mathematical Reasoning in the Classroom: The Effects of Cooperative Learning and Metacognitive Training	Effect Size: 0.107 LCI: -0.182				
(2003)	(American Educational Research Journal)	UCI: 0.396 Weight: 0.521 Standard error: 0.148	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Sharan (1979) CL	Academic achievement of elementary school children in small-group versus whole-class instruction	Effect Size: 0.106 LCI: -0.317	+ + +			
CL	(Journal of Experimental Education)	UCI: 0.529 Weight: 0.492 Standard error: 0.216	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
MacGregor (1988) CL	Instructional design for computer-mediated text systems: Effects of motivation, learner control, and collaboration on	Effect Size: 0.103 LCI: -0.448	-			
(1900) 02	reading performance (The Journal of Experimental Educational)	UCI: 0.653 Weight: 0.458 Standard error: 0.281	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Bramlett (1994)	Implementing cooperative learning: A field study evaluating issues for school-based consultants	Effect Size: 0.102 LCI: -0.096	1 1			
	(Journal of School Psychology)	UCI: 0.3 Weight: 0.537 Standard error: 0.101	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Crawford (2014)	LIT Programme: Evaluation report and executive summary (NA)	Effect Size: 0.09 LCI: -0.039				
X 7		UCI: 0.219 Weight: 0.545 Standard error: 0.066	-4 -2 0	2	4	6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size	(Graph)			
Chang (1999)	Comparison of Taiwan Science Students' Outcomes With Inquiry-Group Versus Traditional Instruction	Effect Size: 0.09 LCI: -0.067					
	(The Journal of Educational Research)	UCI: 0.247 Weight: 0.542 Standard error: 0.08	-4 -:	2 0	2	4	6
Armstrong (1998)	The effect of Student Team Achievement Divisions cooperative learning technique on upper secondary social	Effect Size: 0.086 LCI: -0.509		-			
(1990)	studies students' academic achievement and attitude towards social studies class	UCI: 0.681 Weight: 0.445 Standard error: 0.304	-4 -	2 0	2	4	6
LeBel (1982) CL	Cooperation between adolescents in computer-assisted algebraic problem solving	Effect Size: 0.082 LCI: -0.666		-			
	(NA)	UCI: 0.831 Weight: 0.4 Standard error: 0.382	-4 -:	2 0	2	4	6
Chalip (1978)	Interaction between co-operative and individual learning	Effect Size: 0.064 LCI: -0.793					
CL	(New Zealand Journal of Educational Studies)	UCI: 0.921 Weight: 0.369 Standard error: 0.437	-4 -:	2 0	2	4	6
Shaaban (2006)	An Initial Study of the Effects of Cooperative Learning on Reading Comprehension, Vocabulary Acquisition, and	Effect Size: 0.064 LCI: -0.527		-			
	Motivation to Read (Reading Psychology)	UCI: 0.655 Weight: 0.446 Standard error: 0.302	-4 -:	2 0	2	4	6
Ghaith (1998)	Effect of cooperative learning on the acquisition of second	Effect Size: 0.061					
	language rules and mechanics (System)	LCI: -0.159 UCI: 0.281 Weight: 0.533 Standard error: 0.112	-4 -:	2 0	2	4	6
Hitchcock (2011)	The Impact of Collaborative Strategic Reading on the Reading Comprehension of Grade 5 Students in Linguistically	Effect Size: 0.056 LCI: -0.057					
	Diverse Schools. (National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance)	UCI: 0.168 Weight: 0.546 Standard error: 0.058	-4 -	2 0	2	4	6
Banghart (1963)	Group Influence on Creativity in Mathematics (The Journal of Experimental Education)	Effect Size: 0.047 LCI: -0.245					
(1700)	(The Southar of Experimental Education)	UCI: 0.339 Weight: 0.521 Standard error: 0.149	-4 -	2 0	2	4	6
Glassman (1989)	A study of cooperative learning in mathematics, writing, and reading in the intermediate grades: A focus upon	Effect Size: 0.04 LCI: -0.147					
()	achievement, attitudes, and self-esteem by gender, race, and ability group (ProQuest Dissertations and Theses)	UCI: 0.227 Weight: 0.538 Standard error: 0.095	-4 -:	2 0	2	4	6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (Graph)			
Zaidi (1994) 1_1	Comparing cooperative learning variations and traditional instruction in seventh-grade mathematics: Effects on achievement and self-regulation strategies (NA)	Effect Size: 0.028 LCI: -0.376 UCI: 0.432 Weight: 0.496 Standard error: 0.206	-4 -2 (2	4	6
Slavin (2009) 1_2	The Reading Edge: a randomized evaluation of a middle school cooperative reading program (Effective Education)	Effect Size: 0.028 LCI: -0.173 UCI: 0.228 Weight: 0.536 Standard error: 0.102	-4 -2 (2	4	6
Zaidi (1994) 1_2	Comparing cooperative learning variations and traditional instruction in seventh-grade mathematics: Effects on achievement and self-regulation strategies (NA)	Effect Size: 0.028 LCI: -0.376 UCI: 0.432 Weight: 0.496 Standard error: 0.206	-4 -2 (2	4	6
Yueh (1988)	The effect of reward structure and group ability composition on cooperative computer-assisted instruction (Journal of Computer-Based Instruction,)	Effect Size: 0.024 LCI: -0.554 UCI: 0.602 Weight: 0.45 Standard error: 0.295	-4 -2 (2	4	6
Slavin (1979)	An Extended Cooperative Learning Experience in Elementary School. (NA)	Effect Size: 0.022 LCI: -0.004 UCI: 0.048 Weight: 0.551 Standard error: 0.013	-4 -2 (2	4	6
Samaha (2000)	Peer Collaboration on a Nonverbal Reasoning Task by Urban, Minority Students (The Journal of Experimental Education)	Effect Size: 0 LCI: -0.509 UCI: 0.509 Weight: 0.469 Standard error: 0.26	-4 -2 (2	4	6
Bellows (1986) CL	Group size, group structure and student interaction in children's computer learning (NA)	Effect Size: 0 LCI: -0.608 UCI: 0.608 Weight: 0.441 Standard error: 0.31	-4 -2 (2	4	6
Hanley (2016)	Let's Think Secondary Science (NA)	Effect Size: -0.003 LCI: -0.054 UCI: 0.048 Weight: 0.55 Standard error: 0.026	-4 -2 (2	4	6
Snyder (1995) 1_2	Cooperative and Individual Learning and Student Misconceptions in Science (Contemporary Educational Psychology)	Effect Size: -0.02 LCI: -0.303 UCI: 0.263 Weight: 0.523 Standard error: 0.144	-4 -2 (2	4	6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (Graph)			
Aguirre (1997) CL	The effects of cooperative, competitive, and individual computer-assisted instruction on the achievement of middle school students (NA)	Effect Size: -0.021 LCI: -0.714 UCI: 0.672 Weight: 0.416 Standard error: 0.354	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Biggart (2015)	Quest: Evaluation report and executive summary (NA)	Effect Size: -0.03 LCI: -0.116 UCI: 0.056 Weight: 0.548 Standard error: 0.044	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Klingner (1996)	Reciprocal Teaching of Reading Comprehension Strategies for Students with Learning Disabilities Who Use English as a Second Language (The Elementary School Journal)	Effect Size: -0.032 LCI: -0.801 UCI: 0.737 Weight: 0.394 Standard error: 0.392	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Guntermann (1987) CL	Collaborative problem-solving with Logo: Effects of group size and group composition (Journal of Educational Computing Research)	Effect Size: -0.038 LCI: -1.018 UCI: 0.942 Weight: 0.335 Standard error: 0.5	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Calderón (1998)	Effects of Bilingual Cooperative Integrated Reading and Composition on Students Making the Transition from Spanish to English Reading (The Elementary School Journal)	Effect Size: -0.058 LCI: -0.355 UCI: 0.24 Weight: 0.52 Standard error: 0.152	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Но (2007)	Cooperative learning: exploring its effectiveness in the physics classroom (Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching)	Effect Size: -0.059 LCI: -0.498 UCI: 0.379 Weight: 0.488 Standard error: 0.224	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Guthrie (1998) 1_1	Does concept-oriented reading instruction increase strategy use and conceptual learning from text? (Journal of Educational Psychology)	Effect Size: -0.079 LCI: -0.494 UCI: 0.335 Weight: 0.494 Standard error: 0.211	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Tsai (2002) CL	Do Male Students Often Perform Better Than Female Students When Learning Computers?: A Study of Taiwanese Eighth Graders' Computer Education through Strategic and Cooperative Learning (Journal of Educational Computing Research)	Effect Size: -0.093 LCI: -0.532 UCI: 0.345 Weight: 0.488 Standard error: 0.224	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Mevarech (1985) 1_2	The effects of cooperative mastery learning strategies on mathematics achievement (Journal of Educational Research)	Effect Size: -0.1 LCI: -0.583 UCI: 0.383 Weight: 0.476 Standard error: 0.246	-4 -2 0	2	4	6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect	Size (G	raph)			
Smith (1981)	Can conflict be constructive? Controversy versus concurrence seeking in learning groups.	Effect Size: -0.102 LCI: -0.627						
	(Journal of Educational Psychology)	UCI: 0.422 Weight: 0.465 Standard error: 0.267	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Johnson-	Training reading comprehension in adequate decoders/poor comprehenders: Verbal versus visual strategies	Effect Size: -0.103 LCI: -0.767			-			
Glenberg (2000) 1_2	(Journal of Educational Psychology)	UCI: 0.562 Weight: 0.425 Standard error: 0.339	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
McDermott (1985) CL	Effects of cooperative learning strategies on computer literacy of fifth and sixth grade students	Effect Size: -0.111 LCI: -0.527						
	(NA)	UCI: 0.306 Weight: 0.493 Standard error: 0.212	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Webb (1985) CL	The role of gender in computer programming learning processes	Effect Size: -0.112 LCI: -0.644			-			
	(Journal of Educational Computing Research)	UCI: 0.419 Weight: 0.463 Standard error: 0.271	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Shupe (2003)	Cooperative learning versus direct instruction: Which type of instruction produces greater understanding of fractions with	Effect Size: -0.145 LCI: -0.712			-			
	fourth graders? (NA)	UCI: 0.422 Weight: 0.453 Standard error: 0.289	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Tan (2007)	Group Investigation Effects on Achievement, Motivation, and Perceptions of Students in Singapore	Effect Size: -0.167 LCI: -0.422						
	(The Journal of Educational Research)	UCI: 0.089 Weight: 0.528 Standard error: 0.13	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Jenkins (1994)	Accommodations for Individual Differences without Classroom Ability Groups: An Experiment in School	Effect Size: -0.188 LCI: -0.358						
	Restructuring (Exceptional Children)	UCI: -0.018 Weight: 0.54 Standard error: 0.086	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Noble (1967) CL	A study of the differences between paired and individual learning from a branching program	Effect Size: -0.203 LCI: -0.898			-			
OL.	(Programmed Learning and Educational Technology)	UCI: 0.491 Weight: 0.416 Standard error: 0.354	-4	-2	0	2	4	6
Weiss (2006)	Effects of multimedia environments on kindergarten children's mathematical achievements and style of learning	Effect Size: -0.23 LCI: -0.682						
	(Educational Media International)	UCI: 0.221 Weight: 0.484 Standard error: 0.23	-4	-2	0	2	4	6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (Graph)			
Ross (2002)	Is Cooperative Learning a Valuable Instructional Method for Teaching Social Studies to Urban African American Students?. (NA)	Effect Size: -0.261 LCI: -0.848 UCI: 0.326 Weight: 0.447 Standard error: 0.3	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Mevarech (1993)	Effects of Learning with Cooperative-Mastery Method on Elementary Students (The Journal of Educational Research)	Effect Size: -0.334 LCI: -0.672 UCI: 0.005 Weight: 0.511 Standard error: 0.173	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Spaulding (1984) CL 1_2	An evaluation of computer programming courses in a junior high school relating student grouping to the quality of learning (NA)	Effect Size: -0.36 LCI: -0.448 UCI: -0.277 Weight: 0.011 Standard error: 0.413	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Liu (1998) CL	The Effect of Being Hypermedia Designers on Elementary School Students' Motivation and Learning of Design Knowledge (EDMEDIA/ED-TELECOM 98 World Conference on Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia and World Conference on Educational Telecommunications)	Effect Size: -0.391 LCI: -1.043 UCI: 0.26 Weight: 0.428 Standard error: 0.332	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Snyder (1995) 1_1	Cooperative and Individual Learning and Student Misconceptions in Science (Contemporary Educational Psychology)	Effect Size: -0.394 LCI: -0.68 UCI: -0.109 Weight: 0.522 Standard error: 0.146	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Souvignier (2007)	Cooperative learning in third graders' jigsaw groups for mathematics and science with and without questioning training (British Journal of Educational Psychology)	Effect Size: -0.47 LCI: -0.803 UCI: -0.137 Weight: 0.513 Standard error: 0.17	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Noh (2005)	The Effects of Thinking Aloud Pair Problem Solving on High School Students' Chemistry Problem-Solving Performance and Verbal Interactions (Journal of Chemical Education)	Effect Size: -0.496 LCI: -1.024 UCI: 0.032 Weight: 0.464 Standard error: 0.27	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Werner (1997)	Effects of learning structure and summarization during computer-based instruction (NA)	Effect Size: -0.539 LCI: -0.991 UCI: -0.087 Weight: 0.484 Standard error: 0.231	-4 -2 0	2	4	6
Cannaday (1990)	A comparative study of the relative effectiveness of computer-assisted instruction, cooperative learning and teacher-directed instruction on improving math performance of low-achieving students (NA)	Effect Size: -0.542 LCI: -1.004 UCI: -0.081 Weight: 0.482 Standard error: 0.235	-4 -2 0	2	4	6



Author	Title	Effect Size	Effect Size (Grap	h)			
Slavin (1980) 1_2	Effects of Student Teams and Peer Tutoring on Academic Achievement and Time On-Task (The Journal of Experimental Education)	Effect Size: -0.606 LCI: -0.962 UCI: -0.251 Weight: 0.508 Standard error: 0.181	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Graup (1985) CL	Response to literature: Student generated questions and collaborative learning as related to comprehension (Cognitive, Essays, Junior Great Books) (NA)	Effect Size: -0.616 LCI: -1.626 UCI: 0.394 Weight: 0.327 Standard error: 0.515	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Ginsburg-Block (1998)	An evaluation of the relative effectiveness of NCTM standards-based interventions for low-achieving urban elementary students. (Journal of Educational Psychology)	Effect Size: -0.754 LCI: -1.588 UCI: 0.079 Weight: 0.375 Standard error: 0.425	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Slavin (1985) 1_1	Effects of Whole Class, Ability Grouped, and Individualized Instruction on Mathematics Achievement (American Educational Research Journal)	Effect Size: -0.789 LCI: -1.073 UCI: -0.505 Weight: 0.523 Standard error: 0.145	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Ross (1988) 1_2	Improving Social-Environmental Studies Problem Solving Through Cooperative Learning (American Educational Research Journal)	Effect Size: -1.003 LCI: -1.33 UCI: -0.676 Weight: 0.514 Standard error: 0.167	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Johnson (1976)	Effects of cooperative versus individualized instruction on student prosocial behavior, attitudes toward learning, and achievement. (Journal of Educational Psychology)	Effect Size: -1.167 LCI: -1.951 UCI: -0.383 Weight: 0.39 Standard error: 0.4	-4 -2	0	2	4	6
Spaulding (1984) CL 1_1	An evaluation of computer programming courses in a junior high school relating student grouping to the quality of learning (NA)	Effect Size: -2.11 LCI: -3.045 UCI: -1.175 Weight: 0.347 Standard error: 0.477	-4 -2	0	2	4	6